Franchise Tax Board

SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Author: Liu		Analyst:	Kristina	E. North	Bill	Number: <u>AB 1829</u>		
Related Bills:	See Prior Analysis	Telephone	e: <u>845-6</u>	978	Amended Date:	May	20, 2004	
		Attorney:	Patrick	Kusiak	Spoi	nsor:		
SUBJECT: State Agency Contracts/Prohibits Expending State Funds For Employee Training & Service Contracts With Contractors Or Subcontractors Outside The U.S./Waiver of Requirements								
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended								
AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.								
X AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as amended April 26, 2004.								
X_FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.								
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO								
X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS AMENDED <u>APRIL 26, 2004</u> , STILL <u>APPLIES.</u>								
X OTHER - See comments below.								
SUMMARY								
This bill would prohibit state and local governments from contracting for services unless the contractor first certifies that the work will be performed in the United States.								
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS								
The May 20, 2004, amendments would exclude contracts entered into by the State Treasurer that are in connection with the sale of any evidence of indebtedness from the new requirements for contracting for services proposed by this bill.								
Except for this change and the new Technical Consideration, the remainder of the department's analysis of the bill as amended April 26, 2004, still applies. The previous Implementation Consideration is repeated below for your convenience.								
POSITION								
Pending.								
IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATION								
Assuming this bill is effective and operative January 1, 2005, the language is silent on whether this bill is intended to apply to contracts awarded before that date. Absent clarifying language, the department would assume existing contracts are valid and would not be set aside. If, however, the bill is to be applicable to all existing state contracts, several significant legal concerns arise. These are discussed below under Legal Impact.								
Board Position				Legislativ	e Director		Date	
	SANA SAO	NP NA	R	Jana Hov	vard for Brian Putle	er	6/7/04	
S			NDING					

Assembly Bill 1829 (Liu) Amended May 20, 2004 Page 2

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATION

Article 5 (commencing with Section 10355) of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of Division 2 of the Public Contracts Code referenced by this bill no longer exists. Amendment 1 deletes this erroneous reference.

LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Kristina E. North Brian Putler

Franchise Tax Board Franchise Tax Board

845-6978 845-6333

Kristina.North@ftb.ca.gov Brian.Putler@ftb.ca.gov

Analyst Kristina E. North Telephone # 845-6978

Attorney Patrick Kusiak

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD'S PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO AB 1829 As Amended May 20, 2004

AMENDMENT 1

On page 5, strikeout lines 6 and 7 and insert:

(2) Article 4 (commencing with Section 10335) of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of Division 2.